Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/651,901	JAKUBOWSKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Taghi T. Arani	2131
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Taghi T. Arani</u> .	(3)	·
(2) Allan Sponseller	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 April 2006	Time: <u>11: am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Janus T. overni		
(Examiner/SPE/Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

→ Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend claims 1, 13, 16, 29, 34, and 38 to clearly define what "the set of inputs" provide to the checksum. Applicant's representative agreed to cancel claims 8 and 24 and to incorporate the features recited in calims 8, 24, and 10 into the base claims.